IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

THURSDAY, THE 13TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 22ND BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 27245 of 2007(R)

PETITIONER:

P.M.MANOJKUMAR,
950630041 CT/DVR,GROUP CENTRE,
C.R.P.F., PALLIPURAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.G.SASIDHARAN CHEMPAZHANTHIYIL
SRI.P.T.JOSE
SRI. VISHNU S CHEMPAZHANTHIYIL

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE ADDITIONAL DIGP,
GROUP CENTRE, C.R.P.G.,PALLIPURAM,
THIUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
GROUP CENTRE, C.R.P.F.,PALLIPURAM,
THIUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE (PERS)
DIRECTORATE GENERAL,C.R.P.F, LOGHI ROAD,
NEW DELHI-110 003.

4. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL,
CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE,
DIRECTORATE GENERAL, LODHI ROAD,
NEW DELHI-110 003.

BY ADV. SRI.P.PARAMESWARAN NAIR, ASST.SOLICITOR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 13/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

V. GIRI , J

==========

W.P.(C) NO. 27245 OF 2007

==========

Dated this the 11th day of September, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner, who serves as a driver in C.R.P.F is aggrieved by the fact that he is now required to report at Jammu & Kashmir, before his representation seeking continued posting at the Group Centre at Pallipuram, is looked into and appropriate decision in that regard taken by the Director General, the 4th respondent.

2. I have heard learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents as well. Posting of personnel in Paramilitary Forces is done by the competent authority taking note of the requirements which fall in different parts of the country. Even the norms relating to transfer formulated in respect of civil servants do not confer any right on a Government servant to resist an order of transfer. The ground for interference under Article 226, with such orders are extremely limited. It is more so in the case of members of Paramilitary

Forces and they will have to abide by the requirements assessed by the superior officer.

3. In the facts and circumstances of the case, I do not find any ground to interfere on Ext.P1. Nevertheless the 4th respondent may take an appropriate decision on Ext.P3 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment and if it is found that the petitioner could be accommodated in the Group Centre, C.R.P.F, Pallippuram, it is open to the 4th respondent to take appropriate decision in that regard.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

V. GIRI, JUDGE.

rv